UNIVERSITY of MARYLAND SHORE REGIONAL HEALTH

Effects of Professional Nursing Governance on Nurse Related Outcomes

Karen Gabel Speroni, PhD, MHSA, BSN, RN, Melanie Ober, RN, BSN, & Amy Stafford, DNP, RN, CIC, CMSRN

Background/Objectives

- While professional nursing governance exists in professional practice structures, effects on nurse related-outcomes [i.e., nurse sensitive indicators (NSI), and patient and nursing satisfaction] has not been well studied. This is one of the first studies to measure associations between levels of governance and nurse-related outcomes. The validated Index for Professional Nursing Governance (IPNG) measures professional nursing governance continuum levels from traditional to shared to self governance.^{1*}
- Study objectives: Examine relationships between IPNG governance scores to nurse-related outcomes, by both overall IPNG scores and the 6 IPNG subscale scores.

Study Methods

- Multicenter study: 20 hospitals in 4 countries (US=17; Saudi Arabia=1; United Arab Emirates=1; Jordan=1).^{2,3} University of Maryland Shore Regional Health (UMSRH) 9 study units (6=inpatient; 3=ambulatory) findings are compared to 20 sites' findings. Institutional view Board (IRB) exemption received.
- IPNG Survey Research: Hospital employed RNs (both study units and hospital-wide) consented and completed an electronic 58-item survey (demographics=7; nurse satisfaction=1; IPNG=50). Of the 582 UMSRH RNs, 53 participated (hospital-wide response rate = 9.1%); 30 participated from study units (average response rate=14.7%; range 6.5%-40.0%).
- Outcome Measures (NSI, patient and RN satisfaction): For each study unit, data provided were number of 4 quarters (range=0-4) NSI and patient satisfaction outperformed unit benchmarks, and if RN if satisfaction outperformed unit benchmarks.

References

- Hess, R.G. (2017). The Measurement of Professional Governance: Scoring Guidelines And Benchmarks. Hobe Sound, FL: Forum for Shared Governance.
- 2. Speroni, K.G., Wisner, K., Stafford, A., Haines, F., AL-Ruzzieh, M.A., Walters, C., & Budhathoki, C. (2021). Effect of Shared Governance on Nurse Sensitive Indicator and Satisfaction Outcomes: An International Comparison. Journal of Nursing Administration, 51(5): 287-296.
- 3. Speroni, K.G., Wisner, K., Ober, M., Haines, F., Walters, C., & Budhathoki, C. (2021) Effect of Shared Governance on Nurse Sensitive Indicator and Satisfaction Outcomes by Magnet Recognition Status. Journal of Nursing Administration, 51 (7/8): 379-388.

- satisfied; 10=very satisfied).
- satisfaction was 7.3.

IPNG Average Scale S [Scale Ranges]

Overall IPNG Score

[trad=50-100; shared= self=201-250] 6 Subscale IPNG Scor **1. Control Over Perso** [trad=12-24; shared=2; 60] 2. Access to Informati [trad=9-18; shared=19 3. Influence Over Res [trad=9-18; shared=19 4. Participation in Con structures [trad=8-16; shared=17 5. Control Over Practi [trad=7-14; shared=15 6. Goals [trad=5-9; shared=10-20; self=21-25]

administration

governance scores].

IPNG Survey Results

UMSRH: N=30 RNs; 96.3% were clinical nurses. IPNG scores were traditional governance for overall study units and hospital-wide, and 5 of 6 (83.3%) subscale scores. Average nurse satisfaction was 7.2 (1=not

20 Sites: N=2170 RNs; 86.3% were clinical nurses. IPNG overall and 4 of 6 (66.7%) of subscale scores were shared governance. Average nurse

Score and	Governance Type* Traditional=Red Shared=Green		Overall Study Scores N=2170
=101-200;	<u>Study</u> <u>Units</u> 90.4	<u>Hospital-</u> <u>Wide</u> 93.6	105.4
res			
onnel 25-48; self=49-	13.9	13.8	17.3
ion 9-36; self=37-45]	16.4	17.3	20.1
ources 9-36; self=37-45]	24.4	24.8	24.9
mmittee	14.8	15.3	16.4
7-32; self=33-40]			
ice 5-28; self=29-35]	11.9	12.9	15.3
-20; self=21-25]	9.0	9.5	11.5

*Traditional governance (lowest) = management / administration only Shared governance = combination of staff and management /

Self-governance (highest) = staff only [there were no average self-

Study Contact

karen.speroni@outlook.com

Outcomes Results

UMSRH : Shared governance and traditional units equally outperformed unit benchmarks (6 of 12, 50.0%). Shared governance: NSI=2 of 4, 50.0%; patient satisfaction=3 of 4, 75.0%; and RN satisfaction=1 of 4, 25.0%. Traditional governance: NSI=2 of 4, 50.0%; patient satisfaction=1 of 4, 25.0%; and RN satisfaction=3 of 4, 75.0%.						
Measure	Traditional Governance Units N=8	Shared Governance Unit N=1	Overall N=9			
<u>NSI:</u>	Average # of 4 Quarters Outperforming Unit Benchmark	Average # of 4 Quarters Outperforming Unit Benchmark				
Falls with injury	2.7	2	2.9			
Hospital-acquired pressure injury stages <u>></u> 2	3.0	4	3.4			
Central line-associated blood stream infection*	3.8	4	3.9			
Catheter-associated urinary tract infection	3.8	3	3.9			
Patient Satisfaction:						
Courtesy and respect	2.6	3	2.5			
Careful listening	1.8	2	1.8			
Pain	3.3	4	3.4			
Care coordination	1.5	1	1.6			
RN Satisfaction:	Outperforming Unit Benchmark	Outperforming Unit Benchmark				
Autonomy	0.1	0.0	0.1			
RN to RN teamwork	0.7	1.0	0.7			
Responsiveness	0.4	0.0	0.4			
Professional development	0.1	0.0	0.1			

Conclusions

- Traditional governance was the predominant finding per IPNG survey research for the UMSRH study unit sample, with no differences in outcomes outperforming unit benchmarks.
- Shared governance was the predominant finding for the 20 sites, with differences in outcomes. As the continuum of professional nursing governance to self-governance, so did nurse related outcomes outperforming unit benchmarks.
- Measuring nursing governance with adequate response rates during pandemics may be needed to evaluate effectiveness of structures and processes formulated in non-pandemic periods.

MAGNET

RECOGNIZED

17100

AMERICAN NURSES CREDENTIALING CENTER

governance IPNG scores increased from traditional governance, to shared